

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,303	03/26/2004	Pavel Horsky	BGC.0006US (A2262-US) 7987	
21906 7590 01/18/2007 TROP PRUNER & HU, PC			EXAMINER	
1616 S. VOSS 1	ROAD, SUITE 750		LEJA, RONALD W	
HOUSTON, TX 77057-2631			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2836	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	01/18/2007	PAPED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/810,303	HORSKY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ronald W. Leja	2836				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Au This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dail 5) Notice of Informal Pail 6) Other:	te				

Art Unit: 2836

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crespi et al. (5,886,510) in view of Hazelton (US 2004/0004483 A1).

Crespi et al. disclose error detection associated with a load (see Fig. 7) wherein the type of load fault is determined, such as, open-load, short-circuit to power supply or short-circuit to ground. A microprocessor supplied with diagnostic data can be implemented to perform recovery operations (Col. 1, lines 10-20) and depending upon the fault an amplifier (A) changes a current parameter. Crespi et al. do not appear to disclose the use of a second diagnosis phase, nor for the various dependent claims, specific parameter changing, i.e. dictated by the formula found in dependent Claim 5. However, it is the opinion of the Examiner that such specific parameter changing(s) as found in one form or another, in the various dependent claims, would have been

Application/Control Number: 10/810,303

Art Unit: 2836

obvious as matters of attempting to recover from a load fault and merely being dependent upon the particular load in the particular application-at-hand. For example, if the load did not have a memory energy storage device, such a consideration would not have to have been considered when changing the current parameter. One having ordinary skill would recognize such features and incorporate the appropriate corrective actions. As far as use of a second diagnosis means or phase, Hazelton teaches the determination of a load fault and the type of load fault wherein during a first phase, a fault condition is determined and during a second phase, the particular type of load fault is determined. The load is considered to encompass connectors (27) and (29) as well as load device (32); attention is directed to Figures 1-3B, wherein in Figure 2, the error condition is detected in step (140) and in the second phase, the type of fault is determined through steps (170) and (190). It would have been obvious to incorporate the teachings of Hazelton, the adding of a second phase or means for determining types of faults, as a means to add a degree of reliability and avoidance of nuisance trippings due to detected intermittent faults. If a fault was detected in the first phase, but no type of fault was determined in the second phase, there would be no need to trip.

Page 3

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronald W. Leja whose telephone number is (571)272-2053. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on (571)272-2800. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,303 Page 4

Art Unit: 2836

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ronald W Leja YM Primary Examiner Art Unit 2836

rwl January 7, 2007